TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

☐ Sent via Express Mail

| Application Number | 09/895,954 |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Filing Date | June 29, 2001 |
| First Named Inventor | Lyle S. Corbin |
| Group Art Unit | 2154 |
| Confirmation No.: | 8107 |
| Examiner Name | Nguyen, Dustin |
| Attorney Docket Number | 150748.01 |

| Label No.: | | Attorney Does | ect : tumm/cr | 1001 10.01 | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|
| ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) | | | | | | | |
| Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Annendment / Reply (pages) Anter Final Antidavis doclaration(s) Express Abandonment Request Express Abandonment Request Acopy of the Notice to File Pages Acopy of the Notice Pages A | nt with | Assignment Papers (for an Application) Drawing(s) (sheets) Declaration Newly Executed (1 A copy from a prior : | pages) papilication pages) Crovisional Cry (SB80) ment | After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Proprietary Information Status Letter Application Data Sheet Request for Corrected Filing Receipt Return Receipt Postcard Other Enclosure(s) [please identify Inclusive] Status Letter Results of Transmittal Communication of Statement o | | | |
| EFS-Web on the date shown below: January 14, 2009 Dute Notemi Tova Printed Name | E Rem | Request for Refund | | | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT | | | | | | | |
| Signature /Henry Gabryjelski/ Reg | | Reg. No. | No. 62,828 | | | | |
| Name of Attorney or Agent | | Henry Gabryjelsk | | | | | |
| Date January 14, 200 | 9 Tel. | | | acsimile No. | (425) 708-5046 | | |
| Assignee Name: | ONE MICRO REDMOND, | MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052 | | | | | |
| Customer Number: | 22971 | 22971 | | | | | |

 First Named Inventor:
 Lyle S. Corbin
 Attorney Docket No.:
 150748.01

 Application No.:
 09/895,954
 Group Art Unit:
 2154

 Filed:
 June 29, 2001
 Confirmation Number:
 8107

Customer No.: 22971 Examiner: DUSTIN NGUYEN

Title: MULTI-THREADED SYSTEM FOR ACTIVATING A PROCESS USING A

SCRIPT ENGINE AND PUBLISHING DATA DESCRIPTIVE OF THE

STATUS OF THE PROCESS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance may reiterate the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Office Action(s).

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

Page 1 of 2

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file

Respectfully submitted, Microsoft Corporation

Date: January 14, 2009 By: /Henry Gabryjelski/

Henry Gabryjelski, Reg. No.: 62,828 Direct telephone 425–703–8116 Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond WA 98052–6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

| January 14, 2009 | /Noemi Tovar/ |
|------------------|---------------|
| Date | Noemi Tovar |